Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,845	BANERJEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BEN C. WANG	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) Mr. Alton Hornsby III (Reg. No. 47,299).	(3)	
(2) <u>BEN C. WANG</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 August 2008	Time: 5:14 p.m (EDT)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 4, 7, 11, 18 and 21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance of the interview in the Notice of Allowance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/659,845

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 4, 7, 11, 18 and 21 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine. Mr. Hornsby authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.